

From:

To:

Sent at:

Subject:

06/06/19 12:28:23

RE: nRoP Project - Update - Feedback from the Supervisor

Errata corrige:

(vii) simplify, shorten, redraft Title **IV**

(vii) administrative fines: further highlight EDPS not obliged **to** impose them

From:

Sent: 06 June 2019 12:24

To:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Subject:

from the Supervisor

Importance: High

Dear colleagues,

This is to inform you that last Tuesday 4 June pm [REDACTED] and me received a **first feedback from the Supervisor** on the version on the nRoP we submitted on 5 April.

The novelties are:

- The **Private Office** ([REDACTED]) from now on **takes the lead** on this.

- On timing, temptatively **by Friday 14 June** a new version will be submitted to Giovanni.

- On **substance**: changes to be implemented are as follows (I indicate the main ones, a 'top 10'):

- (i) reduce the number of pages of about the half (from 20 to 10);
- (ii) delete redundancies, delete re to articles of regulation 1725;
- (iii) merge articles 3 and 15 (article on principles) and redraft looking at EDPS EDPS MoU principles;
- (iv) new article 3 bis on organization, organizational principles (to be drafted)
- (v) clearer demarcation of roles of Supervisor, Secretary General, Director; shorten article 7;
- (vi) rename and de-institutionalise MB and DM ([REDACTED] is in charge in particular of these articles, including finding new names: 'jour fix'/'special jour fix', for instance)
- (vii) simplify, shorten, redraft Title II
- (vii) administrative fines: further highlight EDPS not obliged not impose them
- (ix) simplify, shorten, redraft Title II (only added value specifications; no list of EDPS tasks and competences)
- (x) add article on EDPS as privacy ambassador ([REDACTED] in charge).

Please note, on the positive side, that the overall architecture of the nRoP has been kept, in particular the 'bridge idea' of EDPS enabling data processing from the moment the legislative proposal is tabled until it becomes operational and later on. And that it is easier and faster to delete than to add.

Let me kindly ask you to **come and 'visit' and/or call me** [REDACTED] **to have a look at what your text is becoming** (I cannot go to each of you, as I would wish to, given the short timeframe).

As always, this exercise is and will be done in full transparency.

And thanks to your help.

At your service,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 05 April 2019 15:04

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: last version of the nRoP - time extension for contribution and redrafting

Dear colleagues, turned into members of the nRoP Task Force,

This is to:

Thank you (a lot) for your fantastic work on this project.

Send you the last version after this morning's cut-off date. This version (with few suggestions from Director, in TCs in the text) has been sent today for discussion **to the MB of 8 April**. We'll have time and occasions for further work on this, including **next DM** but, for now...

Enjoy your week end and let's enjoy the well-deserved holidays!! :-))

With the best regards,

Yours,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 April 2019 16:19

To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: last version of the nRoP - time extension for contribution and redrafting

Dear colleagues,
If fine with [REDACTED]

I would extend **to next Friday at noon** the deadline for sending your further edits and comments.

Please find the last 'state of play' doc.
Please, make changes only into the *'last version' doc in the shared drive (unless you have comments ready to share already on a previous version).
As usual, I'll try to incorporate and accommodate everything and asap, please double check if nothing from your suggestions so far went lost.

Thank you,
[REDACTED]