EDPS Formal comments on the draft Commission Delegated Regulation on supplementing Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the content of the reports and notifications for major ICT-related incidents and significant cyber threats and the time limits for reporting of these incidents

THE EUROPEAN DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (‘EUDPR’), and in particular Article 42(1) thereof,

HAS ADOPTED THE FOLLOWING FORMAL COMMENTS:

1. Introduction and background

1. On 3 July 2024, the European Commission consulted the EDPS on the draft Commission Delegated Regulation on supplementing Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 (‘DORA Regulation’) with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the content of the reports and notifications for major ICT-related incidents and significant cyber threats and the time limits for reporting of these incidents (‘the draft Delegated Regulation’).

2. The objective of the draft Delegated Regulation is to establish the content of the reports for ICT-related incidents and the notification for significant cyber threats, and the time limits for financial entities to report these incidents to competent authorities.

3. The draft Delegated Regulation is adopted pursuant to Article 20(a), third subparagraph, of the DORA Regulation.

---

3 Explanatory Memorandum, p.1 and Recital 1 of the draft Delegated Regulation.
4. The EDPS previously issued Opinion 7/2021 on the DORA Regulation.4

5. The present formal comments of the EDPS are issued in response to a consultation by the European Commission pursuant to Article 42(1) of EUDPR.

6. These formal comments do not preclude any additional comments by the EDPS in the future, in particular if further issues are identified or new information becomes available, for example as a result of the adoption of other related implementing or Delegated acts.

7. Furthermore, these formal comments are without prejudice to any future action that may be taken by the EDPS in the exercise of his powers pursuant to Article 58 of the EUDPR and are limited to the provisions of the draft Delegated Regulation that are relevant from a data protection perspective.

2. Comments

8. The EDPS notes that, pursuant to Article 2 of the draft Delegated Regulation, financial entities would be required to provide - among others - the name of the financial entity6, the name of the entity submitting the report for the financial entities7 as well as contact details of the contact persons responsible for communicating with the competent authority8. More significantly, pursuant to Articles 4(l) and 7(i) of the draft Delegated Regulation, the content of the reports or notification may include ‘information on indicators of compromise’. This information could encompass a wide range of data which is likely to constitute personal data, such as IP addresses, log files, and email message data9.

9. Against this background, the EDPS recalls the applicability of the EU data protection legal framework, in particular Regulation 2016/679 (GDPR)10, when personal data is

---

6 Article 2(b) of the draft Delegated Regulation.
7 Article 2(c) of the draft Delegated Regulation.
8 Article 2(e) of the draft Delegated Regulation. For the sake of completeness, the EDPS recalls that data concerning legal persons may, in some instances, be considered as personal data, as clarified by the CJEU (See judgment of 9 November 2010, Volker and Markus Schecke Cbr v. Land Hessen, and Efert v. Land Hessen and Bundesanstalt für Landwirtschaft und Ernährung, Joined cases C-92/09 and C-93/09, EU:C:2010:662, paragraph 53). In these cases, the determining factor is whether the information ‘relates to’ an ‘identifiable’ natural person.
9 See also EDPS Opinion 5/2021 on the Cybersecurity Strategy and the NIS 2.0 Directive, paragraph 30.
processed in the context of the draft Delegated Regulation. The EDPS recommends adding a recital recalling the applicability of the EU data protection legal framework and data protection principles, such as data minimisation and storage limitation, to any activities under the draft implementing Regulation that involve the processing of personal data.

10. Finally, the EDPS notes the absence of the reference to this consultation in a recital of the draft Delegated Regulation. Hence, the EDPS recommends inserting such a reference in a recital of the draft Delegated Regulation.
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